

The expansion of $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{ak})(1 - q^{bk})$

by

ZHI-HONG SUN (Huai'an)

1. Introduction. Let \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{R} be the sets of positive integers, integers and real numbers respectively. A negative integer d with $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ is called a *discriminant*. The *conductor* of the discriminant d is the largest positive integer $f = f(d)$ such that $d/f^2 \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$.

For integers a, b and c with $a, c > 0$ and $b^2 - 4ac < 0$, we use (a, b, c) to denote the form $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$. Two forms (a, b, c) and (a', b', c') are *equivalent* ($(a, b, c) \sim (a', b', c')$) if there exist integers α, β, γ and δ with $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1$ such that the substitution $x = \alpha X + \beta Y$, $y = \gamma X + \delta Y$ transforms (a, b, c) to (a', b', c') . The substitutions $x = Y$, $y = -X$ and $x = X + kY$, $y = Y$ imply

$$(1.1) \quad (a, b, c) \sim (c, -b, a) \sim (a, 2ak + b, ak^2 + bk + c) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z})$$

(see also [D, p. 141]). We denote the equivalence class of (a, b, c) by $[a, b, c]$, and the form class group of discriminant d by $H(d)$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}^2 = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a, c > 0$ and $b^2 - 4ac < 0$, we define

$$(1.2) \quad R(a, b, c; n) = |\{\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2\}|.$$

If $(a, b, c) \sim (a', b', c')$, it is known that (see [SW1])

$$(1.3) \quad R(a, b, c; n) = R(a, -b, c; n) = R(a', b', c'; n).$$

In this paper we extend some results in [SW2]. In particular, we show that for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|q| < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{ak})(1 - q^{bk}) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} (R(a+b, 12(a-b), 36(a+b); 24n+a+b) \\ &\quad - R(4(a+b), 12(a-b), 9(a+b); 24n+a+b)) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11E25; Secondary 11E16, 41A58, 11B83.

Key words and phrases: binary quadratic forms, the number of representations.

The author was supported by Natural Sciences Foundation of Jiangsu Educational Office in China (07KJB110009).

In the special case $a + b = 24$, the result is equivalent to Theorem 2.2 of [SW2].

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \{1, 2, 5\}$, in Section 4, we determine the number of representations of n as

$$n = \frac{3x^2 - x}{2} + b \frac{3y^2 - y}{2}.$$

For example, we have

$$\left| \left\{ \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = \frac{3x^2 - x}{2} + b \frac{3y^2 - y}{2} \right\} \right| = \sum_{k|(12n+1)} (-1)^{(k-1)/2}.$$

In Section 5 we show that if $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2 \nmid k$, $2 \mid n$, $m < 20k$ and $n > 9k$, then

$$R(k, 2m, 20m; n) = R(k + 4m, 18m, 20m; n).$$

In addition to the above notation, we also use throughout this paper the following notation: $\text{ord}_p n$ denotes the nonnegative integer α such that $p^\alpha \parallel n$ (that is, $p^\alpha \mid n$ but $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid n$), (a, b) is the greatest common divisor of the integers a and b (not both zero), and $(\frac{a}{m})$ is the Legendre–Jacobi–Kronecker symbol.

2. General formulas for $f_{a,b}(r, m; n)$ and $R_{a,b}(r, m; n)$

DEFINITION 2.1. For $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$f(r, m; q) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \{(1 - q^{mn+(m-r)/2})(1 - q^{mn+m})(1 - q^{mn+(m+r)/2})\} \quad (|q| < 1).$$

From Jacobi's triple product identity (cf. [HW, Theorem 352, p. 282 (with $x = q^{m/2}$, $z = -q^{-r/2}$)]) we know that

$$(2.1) \quad f(r, m; q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(mn^2 - rn)/2} \quad (|q| < 1).$$

In particular,

$$f(1, 3; q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(3n^2 - n)/2} \quad (|q| < 1).$$

This is Euler's pentagonal number theorem.

DEFINITION 2.2. For $a, b, r, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2 \mid (a, b)(m - r)$, we define $f_{a,b}(r, m; n)$ by

$$f(r, m; q^a) f(r, m; q^b) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{a,b}(r, m; n) q^n$$

and $R_{a,b}(r, m; n)$ by

$$R_{a,b}(r, m; n) = \left| \left\{ \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = a \frac{mx^2 - rx}{2} + b \frac{my^2 - ry}{2} \right\} \right|.$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{a(mn^2 - rn)/2} \right) \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{b(mn^2 - rn)/2} \right) \\ = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_{a,b}(r, m; n) q^n \quad (|q| < 1). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.1. Let $a, b, r, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2 \mid (a, b)(m - r)$. Then

$$f_{a,b}(r, m; n)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r/2 \pmod{m} \\ (a+b)x^2 + 4amxy + 4am^2y^2 = 2mn + (a+b)r^2/4}} 1 \\ - \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r/2 \pmod{m} \\ (a+b)x^2 + 2amxy + am^2y^2 = 2mn + (a+b)r^2/4}} 1 & \text{if } 2 \mid r, \\ \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ (a+b)x^2 + 8amxy + 16am^2y^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} 1 \\ - \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ (a(2m-1)^2 + b)x^2 + 8am(1-2m)xy + 16am^2y^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} 1 & \text{if } 2 \nmid r \end{cases}$$

and

$$R_{a,b}(r, m; n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r/2 \pmod{m} \\ (a+b)x^2 + 2amxy + am^2y^2 = 2mn + (a+b)r^2/4}} 1 & \text{if } 2 \mid r, \\ \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ (a+b)x^2 + 4amxy + 4am^2y^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} 1 & \text{if } 2 \nmid r. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $c \in \{0, 1\}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{cn} q^{a(mn^2 - rn)/2} \right) \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{cn} q^{b(mn^2 - rn)/2} \right) \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ a(mx^2 - rx)/2 + b(my^2 - ry)/2 = n}} (-1)^{c(x+y)} q^n \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ a(mx^2 + rx)/2 + b(my^2 + ry)/2 = n}} (-1)^{c(x-y)} q^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ a(4m^2x^2+4mr) + b(4m^2y^2+4my) = 8mn}} (-1)^{c(x-y)} q^n \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ a(2mx+r)^2 + b(2my+r)^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} (-1)^{c(x-y)} q^n \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv y \pmod{2m} \\ ay^2 + bx^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} (-1)^{c(x-y)/(2m)} q^n \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{x,z \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ a(x+2mz)^2 + bx^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} (-1)^{cz} q^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.1) and Definition 2.2 we have

$$(2.2) \quad f_{a,b}(r, m; n) = \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ a(x+2my)^2 + bx^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} (-1)^y$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad R_{a,b}(r, m; n) = \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ a(x+2my)^2 + bx^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} 1.$$

If $2 \mid r$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ a(x+2my)^2 + bx^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} (-1)^{cy} = \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, 2x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ a(2x+2my)^2 + b(2x)^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} (-1)^{cy} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r/2 \pmod{m} \\ a(x+my)^2 + bx^2 = 2mn + (a+b)r^2/4}} (-1)^{cy}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r/2 \pmod{m} \\ a(x+my)^2 + bx^2 = 2mn + (a+b)r^2/4}} (-1)^y \\
&= \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r/2 \pmod{m} \\ a(x+my)^2 + bx^2 = 2mn + (a+b)r^2/4}} (1 + (-1)^y) - \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r/2 \pmod{m} \\ a(x+my)^2 + bx^2 = 2mn + (a+b)r^2/4}} 1 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r/2 \pmod{m} \\ a(x+2my)^2 + bx^2 = 2mn + (a+b)r^2/4}} 2 - \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r/2 \pmod{m} \\ a(x+my)^2 + bx^2 = 2mn + (a+b)r^2/4}} 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r/2 \pmod{m} \\ (a+b)x^2 + 4amxy + 4am^2y^2 = 2mn + (a+b)r^2/4}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r/2 \pmod{m} \\ (a+b)x^2 + 2amxy + am^2y^2 = 2mn + (a+b)r^2/4}} 1.$$

Thus, we see that the result holds when $2 \mid r$.

If $2 \nmid r$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ a(x+2my)^2 + bx^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} (-1)^y \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m}, 2 \mid y \\ a(x+2my)^2 + bx^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m}, 2 \mid y-x \\ a(x+2my)^2 + bx^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ a(x+4my)^2 + bx^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ a(x+2m(2y-x))^2 + bx^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ (a+b)x^2 + 8amxy + 16am^2y^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} 1 \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv r \pmod{2m} \\ (a(2m-1)^2 + b)x^2 + 8am(1-2m)xy + 16am^2y^2 = 8mn + (a+b)r^2}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.2) and (2.3) yields the result in the case $2 \nmid r$. The proof is now complete.

We note that Theorem 2.1 can be viewed as a generalization of [SW2, Proposition 2.1].

3. The expansion of $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{ak})(1 - q^{bk})$

LEMMA 3.1 ([SW2, p. 356]). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a, c > 0$ and $b^2 - 4ac < 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 = n}} 1 &= R(a, b, c; n) - R(9a, 3b, c; n) \\ &\quad - R(4a, 2b, c; n) + R(36a, 6b, c; n). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|q| < 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{ak})(1 - q^{bk}) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} (R(a+b, 12(a-b), 36(a+b); 24n+a+b) \\ &\quad - R(4(a+b), 12(a-b), 9(a+b); 24n+a+b)) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n' = 24n + a + b$. Taking $m = 3$ and $r = 1$ in Theorem 2.1 and then applying Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a,b}(1, 3; n) &= \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ (a+b)x^2 + 24axy + 144ay^2 = n'}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ (25a+b)x^2 - 120axy + 144ay^2 = n'}} 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (R(a+b, 24a, 144a; n') - R(9(a+b), 72a, 144a; n')) \\ &\quad - R(4(a+b), 48a, 144a; n') + R(36(a+b), 144a, 144a; n')) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (R(25a+b, -120a, 144a; n') - R(9(25a+b), -360a, 144a; n')) \\ &\quad - R(4(25a+b), -240a, 144a; n') + R(36(25a+b), -720a, 144a; n')). \end{aligned}$$

For $a', b', c', k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (a', b', c') &\sim (c', -b', a') \sim (c', -2c'k - b', k^2c' + kb' + a') \\ &\sim (a' + kb' + k^2c', b' + 2kc', c'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(9(25a+b), -360a, 144a) \sim (9(a+b), -72a, 144a)$, $(4(25a+b), -240a, 144a) \sim (4(a+b), 48a, 144a)$ and $(36(25a+b), -720a, 144a) \sim (36(a+b), 144a, 144a)$. Hence using (1.3) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} R(9(25a+b), -360a, 144a; n') &= R(9(a+b), 72a, 144a; n'), \\ R(4(25a+b), -240a, 144a; n') &= R(4(a+b), 48a, 144a; n'), \\ R(36(25a+b), -720a, 144a; n') &= R(36(a+b), 144a, 144a; n'). \end{aligned}$$

Now combining the above we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 2f_{a,b}(1, 3; n) &= R(a+b, 24a, 144a; 24n+a+b) \\ &\quad - R(25a+b, -120a, 144a; 24n+a+b). \end{aligned}$$

From (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a+b, 24a, 144a) &\sim (a+b, -12(a+b) + 24a, 36(a+b) - 6 \cdot 24a + 144a) \\ &\sim (a+b, 12(a-b), 36(a+b)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(25a + b, -120a, 144a) \\
&\sim (25a + b, 4(25a + b) - 120a, 4(25a + b) - 2 \cdot 120a + 144a) \\
&\sim (25a + b, 4b - 20a, 4(a + b)) \sim (4(a + b), 20a - 4b, 25a + b) \\
&\sim (4(a + b), -8(a + b) + 20a - 4b, 4(a + b) - (20a - 4b) + 25a + b) \\
&\sim (4(a + b), 12(a - b), 9(a + b)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus applying the above and (1.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
2f_{a,b}(1, 3; n) &= R(a + b, 12(a - b), 36(a + b); 24n + a + b) \\
&\quad - R(4(a + b), 12(a - b), 9(a + b); 24n + a + b).
\end{aligned}$$

To see the result, we note that

$$(3.2) \quad \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{ak})(1 - q^{bk}) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{a,b}(1, 3; n)q^n.$$

COROLLARY 3.1. *For $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
R(a + b, 12(a - b), 36(a + b); 24n + a + b) \\
\equiv R(4(a + b), 12(a - b), 9(a + b); 24n + a + b) \pmod{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m < 12k$ and $2(m, 12k) \nmid n$. Then*

$$R(k, 2m, 12m; n + k) = R(k + 2m, 10m, 12m; n + k).$$

Proof. Set $a = 2m$ and $b = 24k - 2m$. Then $(a, b) = (2m, 24k) = 2(m, 12k)$ and so $(a, b) \nmid n$. Hence, by (3.2) we have $f_{a,b}(1, 3; n) = 0$. This together with (3.1) gives

$$R(a + b, 24a, 144a; 24n + a + b) = R(25a + b, 120a, 144a; 24n + a + b).$$

That is,

$$R(24k, 48m, 288m; 24(n + k)) = R(24k + 48m, 240m, 288m; 24(n + k)).$$

This yields the result.

REMARK 3.1. Let $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$. Taking $k = 1$ in Corollary 3.2 we see that if $2 \mid n$, then $R(1, 2m, 12m; n) = R(2m + 1, 10m, 12m; n)$. As $(1, 2m, 12m) \sim (1, 0, m(12 - m))$ and $(2m + 1, 10m, 12m) \sim (2m + 1, 2m - 4, 4) \sim (4, 4 - 2m, 2m + 1)$, we deduce that

$$(3.3) \quad R(1, 0, m(12 - m); n) = R(4, 4 - 2m, 2m + 1; n).$$

When $m \leq 6$, (3.3) has been given in [SW2, Corollary 2.1]. For $m \leq 5$ see also [KW].

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the form $(a + b, 12(a - b), 36(a + b))$ is not equivalent to $(4(a + b), \pm 12(a - b), 9(a + b))$.*

Proof. If $(a+b, 12(a-b), 36(a+b)) \not\sim (4(a+b), \pm 12(a-b), 9(a+b))$, then clearly $(a+b, 12(b-a), 36(a+b)) \not\sim (4(a+b), \pm 12(a-b), 9(a+b))$. Thus we only need to consider the case $a \leq b$. Now we assume $a \leq b$. Let

$$F_1 = \begin{cases} (144a, -24a, a+b) & \text{if } b > 143a, \\ (a+b, 24a, 144a) & \text{if } 23a \leq b \leq 143a, \\ (a+b, 22a-2b, 121a+b) & \text{if } 7a \leq b < 23a, \\ (a+b, 20a-4b, 100a+4b) & \text{if } \frac{19}{5}a \leq b < 7a, \\ (a+b, 18a-6b, 81a+9b) & \text{if } \frac{17}{7}a \leq b < \frac{19}{5}a, \\ (a+b, 16a-8b, 64a+16b) & \text{if } \frac{5}{3}a \leq b < \frac{17}{7}a, \\ (a+b, 14a-10b, 49a+25b) & \text{if } \frac{13}{11}a \leq b < \frac{5}{3}a, \\ (a+b, 12(a-b), 36(a+b)) & \text{if } b < \frac{13}{11}a. \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that F_1 is a reduced form of discriminant $-576ab$. Using (1.1) we see that $(a+b, 12(a-b), 36(a+b))$ is equivalent to F_1 . Set

$$F_2 = \begin{cases} (144a, 120a, 25a+b) & \text{if } b \geq 119a, \\ (25a+b, -120a, 144a) & \text{if } 95a < b < 119a, \\ (25a+b, 2b-70a, 49a+b) & \text{if } 15a < b \leq 95a, \\ (25a+b, 4b-20a, 4a+4b) & \text{if } 7a \leq b \leq 15a, \\ (4a+4b, 20a-4b, 25a+b) & \text{if } 2a \leq b < 7a, \\ (4(a+b), 12(a-b), 9(a+b)) & \text{if } b < 2a. \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that F_2 is also a reduced form of discriminant $-576ab$. Using (1.1) we see that $(4(a+b), 12(a-b), 9(a+b))$ is equivalent to F_2 . Clearly F_1 is different from F_2 and from the converse of F_2 , and hence not equivalent to them, by Lagrange's theorem for reduced forms. Putting all the above together we obtain the result.

THEOREM 3.2. *For $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\{c_n\}$ be given by*

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{ak})(1 - q^{bk}) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n q^n \quad (|q| < 1).$$

(i) *Assume $a = b$, $a | n$ and $p = 12n/a + 1$. If p is a prime, then*

$$c_n = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p \text{ is represented by } x^2 + 36y^2, \\ -2 & \text{if } p \text{ is represented by } 4x^2 + 9y^2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) *Assume $a \neq b$, $d = (a+b, 24(a,b))$ and $p = (24n+a+b)/d$. If p is a prime, then*

$$c_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \text{ is represented by } \frac{a+b}{d}x^2 + \frac{12(a-b)}{d}xy + \frac{36(a+b)}{d}y^2, \\ -1 & \text{if } p \text{ is represented by } \frac{4(a+b)}{d}x^2 + \frac{12(a-b)}{d}xy + \frac{9(a+b)}{d}y^2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. When $a = b$ we set $d = 2a$. As

$$\begin{aligned} (a+b, 12(a-b)) &= (a+b, 24a - 12(a+b)) = (a+b, 24a) \\ &= (a, b)((a+b)/(a, b), 24a/(a, b)) \\ &= (a, b)((a+b)/(a, b), 24) = d, \end{aligned}$$

we see that $((a+b)/d, 12(a-b)/d, 36(a+b)/d)$ and $(4(a+b)/d, 12(a-b)/d, 9(a+b)/d)$ are primitive forms of discriminant $-576ab/d^2$. Set $d_1 = ((a+b)/(a, b), 24)$. Then $d = (a, b)d_1$ and $d_1 | 24$. If $d_1 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then

$$576 \frac{ab}{d^2} = \frac{576}{d_1^2} \cdot \frac{a}{(a, b)} \cdot \frac{b}{(a, b)} \geq \frac{576}{4^2} > 4.$$

If $d_1 \in \{6, 8, 12, 24\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{576ab}{d^2} &= \frac{576}{d_1^2} \cdot \frac{a}{(a, b)} \cdot \frac{b}{(a, b)} \geq \frac{576}{d_1^2} \left(\frac{a}{(a, b)} + \frac{b}{(a, b)} - 1 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{576}{d_1^2} (d_1 - 1) \geq d_1 - 1 > 4. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we always have $-576ab/d^2 < -4$. From Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= \frac{1}{2} (R(a+b, 12(a-b), 36(a+b); 24n+a+b) \\ &\quad - R(4(a+b), 12(a-b), 9(a+b); 24n+a+b)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(R\left(\frac{a+b}{d}, \frac{12(a-b)}{d}, \frac{36(a+b)}{d}; p\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - R\left(\frac{4(a+b)}{d}, \frac{12(a-b)}{d}, \frac{9(a+b)}{d}; p\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

If p is not represented by $((a+b)/d, 12(a-b)/d, 36(a+b)/d)$ and $(4(a+b)/d, 12(a-b)/d, 9(a+b)/d)$, by the above we have $c_n = 0$. If p is represented by $((a+b)/d, 12(a-b)/d, 36(a+b)/d)$, by [SW1, Lemma 5.2] and Lemma 3.2 we have

$$R\left(\frac{a+b}{d}, \frac{12(a-b)}{d}, \frac{36(a+b)}{d}; p\right) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } a \neq b, \\ 4 & \text{if } a = b \end{cases}$$

and

$$R\left(\frac{4(a+b)}{d}, \frac{12(a-b)}{d}, \frac{9(a+b)}{d}; p\right) = 0.$$

Hence $c_n = 1$ or 2 according as $a \neq b$ or $a = b$. Similarly, if p is represented by $(4(a+b)/d, 12(a-b)/d, 9(a+b)/d)$, by [SW1, Lemma 5.2], Lemma 3.2

and the above we have $c_n = -1$ or -2 according as $a \neq b$ or $a = b$. This concludes the proof.

For example, let

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2k})(1 - q^{3k}) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n q^n \quad (|q| < 1).$$

If $p = 24n + 5$ is a prime, taking $a = 3$ and $b = 2$ in Theorem 3.2 we have

$$c_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \text{ is represented by } 5x^2 + 12xy + 180y^2, \\ -1 & \text{if } p \text{ is represented by } 20x^2 + 12xy + 45y^2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

REMARK 3.2. Let

$$q \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{12k})^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{12}(n) q^n \quad (|q| < 1).$$

The value of $\phi_{12}(n)$ has been given in [SW2, Theorem 4.5(iv)]. It is easily seen that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{ak})^2 = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi_{12}(12m + 1) q^{am} \quad (|q| < 1).$$

For $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$(3.4) \quad \phi(a, b; n) = \frac{1}{2} (R(a+b, 12(a-b), 36(a+b); 24n+a+b) \\ - R(4(a+b), 12(a-b), 9(a+b); 24n+a+b)).$$

From Corollary 3.1 we know that $\phi(a, b; n) \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a rational number m we let

$$\sigma(m) = \begin{cases} \sum_{d|m} d & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are two sequences satisfying

$$a_1 = b_1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_n + a_1 b_{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} b_1 = n a_n \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots),$$

we say that (a_n, b_n) is a *Newton–Euler pair* as in [S]. Now we state the following result.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(\phi(a, b; n), -a\sigma(n/a) - b\sigma(n/b))$ is a Newton–Euler pair. That is, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$a\sigma\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) + b\sigma\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(a\sigma\left(\frac{k}{a}\right) + b\sigma\left(\frac{k}{b}\right) \right) \phi(a, b; n-k) = -n\phi(a, b; n).$$

Proof. Suppose $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|q| < 1$. As

$$1 - q^n = \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} (1 - e^{2\pi ir/n} q),$$

applying Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(a, b; n) q^n &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{ak})(1 - q^{bk}) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{r=0}^{ak-1} (1 - e^{2\pi ir/(ak)} q) \prod_{s=0}^{bk-1} (1 - e^{2\pi is/(bk)} q). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{ak-1} (e^{2\pi ir/(ak)})^n + \sum_{s=0}^{bk-1} (e^{2\pi is/(bk)})^n \right\} \\ = \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ ak|n}} ak + \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\ bk|n}} bk = a\sigma(n/a) + b\sigma(n/b). \end{aligned}$$

From the above and [S, Example 1, p. 103] we deduce the result.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\phi(a, b; n) = \sum_{\substack{k_1+2k_2+\dots+nk_n=n}} (-1)^{k_1+\dots+k_n} \frac{(a\sigma(1/a)+b\sigma(1/b))^{k_1} \cdots (a\sigma(n/a)+b\sigma(n/b))^{k_n}}{1^{k_1} \cdot k_1! \cdots n^{k_n} \cdot k_n!}.$$

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 3.3 and [S, Theorem 2.2].

REMARK 3.3. For $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, 12\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\phi(a, 24-a; n) &= R(24, 12(2a-24), 36 \cdot 24; 24n+24) \\ &\quad - R(4 \cdot 24, 12(2a-24), 9 \cdot 24; 24n+24) \\ &= R(1, a-12, 36; n+1) - R(4, a-12, 9; n+1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $n > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\phi(a, 24-a; n-1) &= R(1, 12-a, 36; n) - R(4, 12-a, 9; n) \\ &= R\left(1, \frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^a), \frac{1}{4}\left(24a-a^2+\frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^a)\right); n\right) \\ &\quad - R(4, 4-a, a+1; n). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\phi(a, 24-a; 0)=1$. Using [SW2, Theorems 2.2, 7.2 and 8.2] we see that $\phi(a, 24-a; n-1)$ is a multiplicative function of n for $a \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12\}$.

The values of $\phi(a, 24 - a; n - 1)$ ($a \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12\}$) have been given in [SW2, Theorems 4.4 and 4.5].

4. Formulas for $R_{1,1}(1, 3; n)$, $R_{1,2}(1, 3; n)$ and $R_{1,5}(1, 3; n)$

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} 2R_{a,b}(1, 3; n) &= R(a + b, 12a, 36a; 24n + a + b) \\ &\quad - R(9(a + b), 36a, 36a; 24n + a + b) \\ &\quad - R(4(a + b), 24a, 36a; 24n + a + b) \\ &\quad + R(36(a + b), 72a, 36a; 24n + a + b). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.1 we have

$$R_{a,b}(1, 3; n) = \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ (a+b)x^2 + 12axy + 36ay^2 = 24n + a + b}} 1.$$

Now applying Lemma 3.1 we obtain the result.

COROLLARY 4.1. *If $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $3 \nmid (a + b)$ and $4 \nmid (a + b)$, then*

$$R_{a,b}(1, 3; n) = \frac{1}{2} R(a + b, 6(a - b), 9(a + b); 24n + a + b).$$

Proof. From Theorem 4.1 we have

$$2R_{a,b}(1, 3; n) = R(a + b, 12a, 36a; 24n + a + b).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b, 12a, 36a) &\sim (a + b, -3 \cdot 2(a + b) + 12a, 9(a + b) - 3 \cdot 12a + 36a) \\ &\sim (a + b, 6(a - b), 9(a + b)). \end{aligned}$$

By the above and (1.3) we obtain the result.

LEMMA 4.1 ([SW1, Lemma 4.1]). *Let d be a discriminant and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m|n} \left(\frac{d}{m} \right) &= \begin{cases} \prod_{\substack{(d/p)=1 \\ p|n}} (1 + \text{ord}_p n) & \text{if } 2 \nmid \text{ord}_q n \text{ for every prime } q \text{ with } \left(\frac{d}{q} \right) = -1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where in the product p runs over all distinct primes such that $p|n$ and $\left(\frac{d}{p} \right) = 1$.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\left| \left\{ \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = \frac{3x^2 - x}{2} + \frac{3y^2 - y}{2} \right\} \right| = \sum_{k|12n+1} (-1)^{(k-1)/2}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} (1 + \text{ord}_p(12n+1)) \\ \quad \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}_q(12n+1) \text{ for every prime } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where p runs over all primes satisfying $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $p \mid (12n+1)$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.1 we have $R_{1,1}(1, 3; n) = \frac{1}{2}R(2, 0, 18; 24n+2) = \frac{1}{2}R(1, 0, 9; 12n+1)$. Since $H(-36) = \{[1, 0, 9], [2, 2, 5]\}$ and $f(-36) = 3$, by [SW1, Theorem 9.3] and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R(1, 0, 9; 12n+1) \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(1 + \left(\frac{12n+1}{3}\right)\right) \prod_{\left(\frac{-4}{p}\right)=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p(12n+1)) \\ \quad \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}_q(12n+1) \text{ for every prime } q \text{ with } \left(\frac{-4}{q}\right) = -1, \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= 2 \sum_{k \mid (12n+1)} \left(\frac{-4}{k}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where p runs over all primes satisfying $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $p \mid (12n+1)$. Thus the result follows.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $8n+1 = 3^{\alpha}n_0$ ($3 \nmid n_0$). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = \frac{3x^2 - x}{2} + 3y^2 - y \right\} \right| = \sum_{k \mid n_0} \left(\frac{-2}{k}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \prod_{p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_0) \\ \quad \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_0 \text{ for every prime } q \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}, \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where p runs over all primes satisfying $p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$ and $p \mid n_0$.

Proof. As $(1, 4, 12) \sim (1, 0, 8)$ and $(3, 4, 4) \sim (3, -2, 3)$, by Theorem 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2R_{1,2}(1, 3; n) \\ &= R(3, 12, 36; 24n+3) - R(27, 36, 36; 24n+3) \\ &= R(1, 4, 12; 8n+1) - R(9, 12, 12; 8n+1) \\ &= \begin{cases} R(1, 4, 12; 8n+1) = R(1, 0, 8; 8n+1) & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}, \\ R(1, 4, 12; 8n+1) - R(3, 4, 4; (8n+1)/3) \\ \quad = R(1, 0, 8; 8n+1) - R(3, 2, 3; (8n+1)/3) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As $H(-32) = \{[1, 0, 8], [3, 2, 3]\}$ and $f(-32) = 2$, by [SW1, Theorem 9.3] and Lemma 4.1, we see that for any odd positive integer m ,

$$R(1, 0, 8; m) = \begin{cases} (1 + (\frac{-1}{m})) \prod_{\substack{(\frac{-8}{p})=1}} (1 + \text{ord}_p m) \\ \quad \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}_q m \text{ for every prime } q \text{ with } (\frac{-8}{q}) = -1, \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ = (1 + (-1)^{(m-1)/2}) \sum_{k|m} \left(\frac{-8}{k} \right)$$

and

$$R(3, 2, 3; m) = \begin{cases} (1 - (\frac{-1}{m})) \prod_{\substack{(\frac{-8}{p})=1}} (1 + \text{ord}_p m) \\ \quad \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}_q m \text{ for every prime } q \text{ with } (\frac{-8}{q}) = -1, \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ = (1 - (-1)^{(m-1)/2}) \sum_{k|m} \left(\frac{-8}{k} \right),$$

where p runs over all primes satisfying $(\frac{-8}{p}) = 1$ (i.e., $p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$) and $p \nmid m$.

If $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}$, then $3 \nmid (8n+1)$ and $n_0 = 8n+1$. By the above,

$$2R_{1,2}(1, 3; n) = R(1, 0, 8; 8n+1) = 2 \sum_{k|8n+1} \left(\frac{-8}{k} \right) = 2 \sum_{k|8n+1} \left(\frac{-2}{k} \right).$$

So the result is true. Now assume $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. From the above,

$$R_{1,2}(1, 3; n) = (R(1, 0, 8; 8n+1) - R(3, 2, 3; (8n+1)/3))/2 \\ = \sum_{k|8n+1} \left(\frac{-8}{k} \right) - \sum_{k|\frac{8n+1}{3}} \left(\frac{-8}{k} \right) = \sum_{k|8n+1, k \nmid \frac{8n+1}{3}} \left(\frac{-8}{k} \right) \\ = \sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{-8}{3^\alpha k} \right) = \sum_{k|n_0} \left(\frac{-8}{k} \right).$$

In view of Lemma 4.1 the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $4n+1 = 5^\alpha n_0 = 3^\beta n_1$ with $5 \nmid n_0$ and $3 \nmid n_1$. Then*

$$\left| \left\{ \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n = \frac{3x^2 - x}{2} + 5 \frac{3y^2 - y}{2} \right\} \right| = \frac{1 + (\frac{n_0}{5})}{2} \sum_{k|n_1} \left(\frac{-5}{k} \right)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \prod_{p \equiv 1, 3, 7, 9 \pmod{20}} (1 + \text{ord}_p n_1) & \text{if } n_0 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5} \text{ and } 2 \mid \text{ord}_q n_1 \text{ for} \\ & \text{every prime } q \equiv 11, 13, 17, 19 \pmod{20}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where p runs over all primes satisfying $p \equiv 1, 3, 7, 9 \pmod{20}$ and $p \mid n_1$.

Proof. As $(1, 2, 6) \sim (1, 0, 5)$ and $(3, 2, 2) \sim (2, -2, 3)$, by Theorem 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2R_{1,5}(1, 3; n) \\ &= R(6, 12, 36; 24n + 6) - R(54, 36, 36; 24n + 6) \\ &= R(1, 2, 6; 4n + 1) - R(9, 6, 6; 4n + 1) \\ &= \begin{cases} R(1, 2, 6; 4n + 1) = R(1, 0, 5; 4n + 1) & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}, \\ R(1, 2, 6; 4n + 1) - R(3, 2, 2; (4n + 1)/3) \\ = R(1, 0, 5; 4n + 1) - R(2, 2, 3; (4n + 1)/3) & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As $H(-20) = \{[1, 0, 5], [2, 2, 3]\}$ and $f(-20) = 1$, by [SW1, Theorem 9.3] and Lemma 4.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & R(1, 0, 5; 4n + 1) \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(1 + \left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right)\right) \prod_{\left(\frac{-20}{p}\right)=1} (1 + \text{ord}_p(4n + 1)) & \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}_q(4n + 1) \text{ for every prime } q \text{ with } \left(\frac{-20}{q}\right) = -1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \left(1 + \left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right)\right) \sum_{k \mid 4n+1} \left(\frac{-20}{k}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where p runs over all primes satisfying $\left(\frac{-20}{p}\right) = 1$ (that is, $p \equiv 1, 3, 7, 9 \pmod{20}$) and $p \mid (4n + 1)$.

If $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$, then $3 \nmid (4n + 1)$ and $n_1 = 4n + 1$. By the above we have

$$2R_{1,5}(1, 3; n) = R(1, 0, 5; 4n + 1) = \left(1 + \left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right)\right) \sum_{k \mid 4n+1} \left(\frac{-5}{k}\right).$$

So the result is true. Now assume $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. From [SW1, Theorem 9.3] and Lemma 4.1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& R(2, 2, 3; (4n+1)/3) \\
&= \begin{cases} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n_0/3}{5}\right)\right) \prod_{\substack{(\frac{-20}{p})=1}} \left(1 + \text{ord}_p \frac{4n+1}{3}\right) \\ \quad \text{if } 2 \mid \text{ord}_q \frac{4n+1}{3} \text{ for every prime } q \text{ with } \left(\frac{-20}{q}\right) = -1, \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \left(1 + \left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right)\right) \sum_{k \mid \frac{4n+1}{3}} \left(\frac{-20}{k}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where p runs over all primes satisfying $\left(\frac{-20}{p}\right) = 1$ and $p \mid \frac{4n+1}{3}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{1,5}(1, 3; n) &= (R(1, 0, 5; 4n+1) - R(2, 2, 3; (4n+1)/3))/2 \\
&= \frac{1 + \left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right)}{2} \left(\sum_{k \mid 4n+1} \left(\frac{-20}{k}\right) - \sum_{k \mid \frac{4n+1}{3}} \left(\frac{-20}{k}\right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1 + \left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right)}{2} \sum_{k \mid n_1} \left(\frac{-20}{3^\beta k}\right) = \frac{1 + \left(\frac{n_0}{5}\right)}{2} \sum_{k \mid n_1} \left(\frac{-20}{k}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This together with Lemma 4.1 completes the proof.

5. Formulas for $f_{a,b}(1, 5; n + \frac{a+b}{5}) + f_{a,b}(3, 5; n)$ when $5 \mid (a+b)$

LEMMA 5.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a, c > 0$ and $b^2 - 4ac < 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{10} \\ ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 = n}} 1 \\
&= R(a, b, c; n) - R(4a, 2b, c; n) - R(25a, 5b, c; n) + R(100a, 10b, c; n).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{10} \\ ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 = n}} 1 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv \pm 1, \pm 3 \pmod{10} \\ ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 = n}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \nmid x \\ ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 = n}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \nmid x, 5 \mid x \\ ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 = n}} 1 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 = n}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \mid x \\ ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 = n}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \nmid x \\ 25ax^2 + 5bxy + cy^2 = n}} 1 \\
&= R(a, b, c; n) - R(4a, 2b, c; n) - (R(25a, 5b, c; n) - R(100a, 10b, c; n)).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

THEOREM 5.1. Let $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $5 \mid (a + b)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a,b}(1, 5; n + (a + b)/5) + f_{a,b}(3, 5; n) \\ = \frac{1}{2} (R((a + b)/5, 8a, 80a; 8n + 9(a + b)/5) \\ - R(16a + (a + b)/5, 72a, 80a; 8n + 9(a + b)/5)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Set $n' = 40n + 9(a + b)$. From Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 5.1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{a,b}(1, 5; n + (a + b)/5) + f_{a,b}(3, 5; n) \\ = & \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{10} \\ (a+b)x^2 + 40axy + 400ay^2 = n'}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{10} \\ (81a+b)x^2 - 360axy + 400ay^2 = n'}} 1 \\ = & \frac{1}{2} (R(a + b, 40a, 400a; n') - R(4(a + b), 80a, 400a; n') \\ & - R(25(a + b), 200a, 400a; n') + R(100(a + b), 400a, 400a; n')) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (R(81a + b, -360a, 400a; n') - R(4(81a + b), -720a, 400a; n') \\ & - R(25(81a + b), -1800a, 400a; n') + R(100(81a + b), -3600a, 400a; n')). \end{aligned}$$

For $a', b', c', k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $(a', b', c') \sim (a' + kb' + k^2c', b' + 2kc', c')$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (4(81a + b), -720a, 400a) & \sim (4(a + b), 80a, 400a), \\ (25(81a + b), -1800a, 400a) & \sim (25(a + b), -200a, 400a), \\ (100(81a + b), -3600a, 400a) & \sim (100(a + b), -400a, 400a). \end{aligned}$$

Hence applying (1.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(4(81a + b), -720a, 400a; n') & = R(4(a + b), 80a, 400a; n'), \\ R(25(81a + b), -1800a, 400a; n') & = R(25(a + b), 200a, 400a; n'), \\ R(100(81a + b), -3600a, 400a; n') & = R(100(a + b), 400a, 400a; n'). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a,b}(1, 5; n + (a + b)/5) + f_{a,b}(3, 5; n) \\ = \frac{1}{2} (R(a + b, 40a, 400a; n') - R(81a + b, -360a, 400a; n')). \end{aligned}$$

This yields the result.

THEOREM 5.2. Let $a, c, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a < 5c$, $(a, 5c) \nmid n$ and $(a, 5c) \nmid (n + c)$. Then

$$R(c, 8a, 80a; 8n + 9c) = R(16a + c, 72a, 80a; 8n + 9c).$$

Proof. Set $b = 5c - a$. Then $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(a, b) = (a, 5c - a) = (a, 5c)$. Thus $(a, b) \nmid n$ and $(a, b) \nmid (n + c)$. Hence $f_{a,b}(1, 5; n + c) = f_{a,b}(3, 5; n) = 0$ by (2.1) and Definition 2.2. Now Theorem 5.1 yields the result.

COROLLARY 5.1. *Let $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m < 20k$ and $2 \nmid n$. Then*

$$R(k, 2m, 20m; n + 9k) = R(k + 4m, 18m, 20m; n + 9k).$$

Proof. Putting $a = 2m$ and $c = 8k$ in Theorem 5.2, we see that $R(8k, 16m, 160m; 8n + 72k) = R(32m + 8k, 144m, 160m; 8n + 72k)$. This yields the result.

REMARK 5.1. Let $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 19\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 10$ and $2 \mid n$. Putting $k = 1$ in Corollary 5.1 we have $R(1, 2m, 20m; n) = R(1 + 4m, 18m, 20m; n)$. As $(1, 2m, 20m) \sim (1, 0, m(20 - m))$ and $(1 + 4m, 18m, 20m) \sim (1 + 4m, 2m - 4, 4) \sim (4, 4 - 2m, 4m + 1)$ we see that

$$(5.1) \quad R(1, 0, m(20 - m); n) = R(4, 4 - 2m, 4m + 1; n).$$

For $m \leq 10$, (5.1) has been given in [SW2, Corollary 2.3].

THEOREM 5.3. *Let $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k < 2m$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{2k,4m-2k}(1, 4; n + m) + f_{2k,4m-2k}(3, 4; n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (R(m, 16k, 128k; 8n + 9m) - R(m + 24k, 112k, 128k; 8n + 9m)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{2k,4m-2k}(1, 4; n + m) + f_{2k,4m-2k}(3, 4; n) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8} \\ 4mx^2 + 64kxy + 512ky^2 = 32n + 36m}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8} \\ (96k + 4m)x^2 - 448kxy + 512ky^2 = 32n + 36m}} 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \nmid x \\ mx^2 + 16kxy + 128ky^2 = 8n + 9m}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \nmid x \\ (24k + m)x^2 - 112kxy + 128ky^2 = 8n + 9m}} 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (R(m, 16k, 128k; 8n + 9m) - R(4m, 32k, 128k; 8n + 9m) \\ &\quad - R(24k + m, -112k, 128k; 8n + 9m) \\ &\quad + R(96k + 4m, -224k, 128k; 8n + 9m)). \end{aligned}$$

As $(96k + 4m, -224k, 128k) \sim (128k, 224k, 96k + 4m) \sim (128k, -32k, 4m) \sim (4m, 32k, 128k)$, we have

$$R(96k + 4m, -224k, 128k; 8n + 9m) = R(4m, 32k, 128k; 8n + 9m).$$

Thus the result follows.

COROLLARY 5.2. Let $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k < 2m$, $(k, 2m) \nmid (n + m)$ and $(k, 2m) \nmid n$. Then

$$R(m, 16k, 128k; 8n + 9m) = R(m + 24k, 112k, 128k; 8n + 9m).$$

Proof. As $(k, 2m - k) = (k, 2m)$, by (2.1) and Definition 2.2 we have $f_{2k, 4m-2k}(1, 4; n + m) = f_{2k, 4m-2k}(3, 4; n) = 0$. Now applying Theorem 5.3 we deduce the result.

References

- [D] H. Davenport, *The Higher Arithmetic: An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 5th ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [HW] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 5th ed., Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1981.
- [KW] P. Kaplan and K. S. Williams, *On the number of representations of a positive integer by a binary quadratic form*, Acta Arith. 114 (2004), 87–98.
- [S] Z. H. Sun, *On the properties of Newton–Euler pairs*, J. Number Theory 114 (2005), 88–123.
- [SW1] Z. H. Sun and K. S. Williams, *On the number of representations of n by $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$* , Acta Arith. 122 (2006), 101–171.
- [SW2] —, —, *Ramanujan identities and Euler products for a type of Dirichlet series*, ibid. 122 (2006), 349–393.

Department of Mathematics
Huaiyin Teachers College
Huai'an, Jiangsu 223001, PR China
E-mail: szh6174@yahoo.com
<http://www.hytc.edu.cn/xsjl/szh>

*Received on 22.1.2007
and in revised form on 25.1.2008*

(5376)